BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE 1 2 3 VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD 4 5 6 7 In the Matter of: BOARD NO. 00-34 8 9 GREG HEITMAN, D.V.M. FINDINGS OF FACT 10 11 Veterinary Medical License: 12 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 13 AND ORDER No. 1534 14 15 16 17 18 The Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board 19 20 (hereinafter referred to as "Board") conducted an Informal Interview on January 17, 2001, after receiving a complaint 21 may have 22 indicating that Greg Heitman, D.V.M. (Dr. Heitman) violated A.R.S. §§ 32-2201, et seq. 23 24 Dr. Heitman was present and did participate in the informal 25 interview pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 32-2234. He was advised of his 26 right to counsel by letter but was not represented by counsel. Mr. & Mrs. Schreck, the Complainants (Complainants) were notified by 27 28 mail of the informal interview and were present. The Board also 29 reviewed all documents submitted by the Complainants and Dr.

Heitman including medical records pertaining to the Complainants'

30

31

dog, 'Rambo'.

The Board having considered all information and investigative materials concerning this matter, makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER:

7 FINDINGS OF FACT

9 1) Greg Heitman D.V.M., holds Arizona veterinary medical 10 license #1534, which permits him to practice veterinary medicine in 11 the State of Arizona.

1.4

- 2) On or about March 30, 2000, the Board received a complaint alleging that Dr. Heitman had failed to properly treat a 5 % year old male Labrador Retriever ('Rambo') after being hit by a car in front of Dr. Heitman's veterinary clinic.
- 3) 'Rambo' was not cared for sufficiently in that Dr. Heitman did not adequately diagnose the serious nature of 'Rambo's' condition; that care given to 'Rambo' was not accurately documented in the medical record; that Dr. Heitman failed to respond as in an emergency; and that 'Rambo' was not treated with compassion.

4) Two women that saw 'Rambo' get hit by a car stopped to give aid and retrieve him from the street. Since the incident occurred in front of Dr. Heitman's veterinary clinic, 'Rambo' was transported inside, found to be a patient of Dr. Heitman, and the owners were notified.

- 5) Prior to the owners arriving, 'Rambo' received a preliminary examination by a technician that worked for Dr. Heitman. 'Rambo' was placed in an examining room under observation until the owners arrived. Dr. Heitman did not initiate any shock therapy while waiting for the owners to arrive, nor after they arrived.
- 6) Not until Renee Schreck's husband arrived, approximately 30 minutes later, did Dr. Heitman examine 'Rambo'. Dr. Heitman stated that 'Rambo' had muffled heart sounds and a degree of respiratory dyspnea. It appeared as though 'Rambo' could walk without noticeable difficulty, and his mucous membranes were pink and his abdomen palpated within normal limits. Proper documentation of 'Rambo's' examination was not written in the medical records.
- 7) Chest X-rays were taken which showed a pneumothorax. Subsequently, 25cc of air was aspirated from the chest and 'Rambo's' breathing improved. After x-rays, 'Rambo' was kept under observation and a further thoraccoentesis yielded no further air from the chest cavity. 'Rambo's' respiratory rate was still above

- normal, and no further x-rays were taken to determine if the apparent pneumothorax had cleared before 'Rambo' was sent home for observation.
 - 8) Since the clinic did not provide 24 hour coverage, it was suggested that 'Rambo' be taken home for observation. According to Dr. Heitman, the availability and location of the Emergency Animal Clinic was discussed with the owner. Taking 'Rambo' directly to the Emergency Animal Clinic was apparently not suggested.
 - 9) Approximately just before 6:00 p.m., the owners called to report that 'Rambo' had drunk a small amount of water and was breathing heavier and foaming from the mouth. It was suggested to limit the water intake and keep 'Rambo' quiet. Dr. Heitman did not suggest bringing 'Rambo' back to the clinic nor take 'Rambo' to the Emergency Animal Clinic. Shortly after 6:00 p.m. the owners called to report that 'Rambo' had died.

17 10) The owners brought 'Rambo' back to Dr. Heitman's clinic

18 where he was pronounced dead. A necropsy was not performed to

determine the cause of death.

2

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

3

- 1) Dr. Heitman is holder of license number 1534 and is,
 therefore, authorized to practice veterinary medicine in the State
 of Arizona.
- 7 2) The Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2201, et seq.
- 3) The actions of Dr. Heitman as described in paragraphs
 3,5,7,8,9 and 10 of the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of
 A.R.S. §32-2232(11) and A.R.S.§32-2232(12) as it relates to A.A.C.
 R3-11-501(5) and (8).
- 4) The actions of Dr. Heitman as described in paragraph 6 of the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of unprofessional and dishonorable conduct as referred to in A.R.S. §32-2232(21) as it relates to A.C.C. R3-11-503(B)(4).

17

18 ORDER

19

1) IT IS ORDERED THAT, Dr. Heitman's veterinary license,
number 1534 be placed on probation for one year beginning with the
effective date of this Order.

1 2) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, in addition to statutory
2 requirements, Dr. Heitman obtain an additional ten (10) hours of
3 continuing education in emergency procedures which include shock
4 therapy.

- 3) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, a written summary be submitted to the Board of the classes taken to meet the additional ten (10) hours of continuing education within thirty (30) days of completion of these classes.
 - 4) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, Dr. Heitman shall submit within sixty (60) days of the date of this Order a written plan, including course, titles, detailing how he will obtain the continuing education to the Board for pre-approval of the ten (10) hours of continuing education relating to emergency procedures.
 - 5) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, Dr. Heitman shall obtain an additional four (4) hours of continuing education in medical record keeping by visiting and observing the Emergency Animal Hospital's (Phoenix) medical record keeping system and submit to the Board for its review and approval documentation of such attendance.
 - 6) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, Dr. Heitman shall obtain an additional four (4) hours of continuing education by reading <u>Law</u> and <u>Ethics of the Veterinary Profession</u>, Chapter 14, 'Medical

1 Record Keeping,' by James F. Wilson, D.V.M., J.D. and provide to

2 the Board for its review and approval, a summary of the salient

3 features of Chapter 14.

features of Chapter 2.

- 7) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, Dr. Heitman shall obtain an additional two (2) hours of continuing education by reading <u>Law and Ethics of the Veterinary Profession</u>, Chapter 2, 'Veterinary and Animal Ethics' by James F. Wilson, D.V.M., J.D. and provide to the Board for its review and approval, a summary of the salient
- 11 8) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, the additional twenty (20)
 12 hours of continuing education ordered in paragraphs 2, 5, 6 and 7
 13 above shall be obtained and completed prior to the expiration of
 14 the term of probation as set forth in paragraph 1 above.
 - 9) This Order is conclusive evidence of the matters described herein and may be considered by the Board in determining an appropriate sanction in the event a subsequent violation occurs.
 - 10) In the event Dr. Heitman violates any terms of this Order the Board may modify the terms, and may also, after opportunity for Informal Interview or Formal Hearing, take any other appropriate disciplinary action authorized by law, including suspension or revocation of Dr. Heitman's license.

of the Order. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2234(F) and A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, as amended, the petition must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after personal service of this Order or within thirty-five (35) days from the date of mailing if the Order was served by certified mail. Pursuant to A.A.C. R3-11-904(C), the petition must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting the rehearing or review. The filing of a petition for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the superior court that the party may wish to pursue.

1.5

This Order shall be effective and in force upon the expiration of the aforementioned time period for filing a motion for rehearing or review with the Board. However, the timely filing of a motion for rehearing or review shall stay the enforcement of the Board's Order unless, pursuant to A.A.C. R3-11-904(F), the Board has expressly found good cause to believe that the Order shall be

Ţ	effective immediately upon	issuance and has so stated in the
2	Order.	
3	Dated this 5th	h day of March, 2001
4		FOR THE BOARD:
5		ARIZONA STATE VETERINARY
6		MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD
7		
8		ROBERT L. KRITSBERG, DVM
9		Chairman
10		$P \cdot (A + A \cdot $
11		forme timegra
12 13		Louise Battaglia
14		Executive Director \
15		
16		
17		
1.8		
19		
20	MEMBERS CONCURRING:	
	Robert Kritsberg, D.V.M.	·
22	Chairman	
23	Lisa Gervase	
24	Board Secretary	·
25	Barbara Jackson	
26	Niles Jennett, D.V.M.	
27	Jenna Jones	
28	James Lewis	
29	Dean A. Rice, D.V.M.	
30	Lawrence Shamis, D.V.M.	
31		
32	MEMBER ABSENT:	
33	Donald C. Levesque, D.V.M.	
34		•
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		

A copy of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order sent by certified mail, No. 7099 3400 0014 6993 7951 this 5thh day of March, 2001 to:

Gred Heitman, D.V.M.

Marc Harris, Assistant Attorney General Arizona Attorney General's Office Department of Law Civil Division, LES 1275 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007